

FIRST CIRCUIT CALENDAR.

Cases to be Tried at the November Term Commencing the 6th of Next Month.

The full list of the calendar of the circuit court of the first circuit follows. Judge Cooper will preside with Hon. W. O. Smith officiating as Attorney-General.

Court will open at 10 o'clock A. M. on Monday, November 6th, for preliminary business. Hawaiian jurors attend at 1 o'clock P. M., foreign jurors when notified.

Attorneys should call the clerk's attention to misplaced cases and omitted names and cases, before the official list is published.

HAWAIIAN JURY—CRIMINAL.

The Queen vs. H. F. Poor; embezzlement (five cases). Hatch with the prosecution; Peterson-Rosa for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Nawai, alias D. Nawai; assault with deadly weapon. C. W. Ashford for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Lui; liquor selling without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. A. Kahananui; liquor selling without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Puhili (K.); liquor selling without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. S. W. Mahelona; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from the district court of Honolulu. Ashford-Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Lui Oliva; liquor selling without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. D. Noa; liquor selling without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Lily Mahi; deserting husband. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kinney for prosecution; C. W. Ashford for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. James Kanui; perverting justice. C. W. Ashford for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Hosea; extortion. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Hulupii; extortion. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. D. Watson et al.; conspiracy.

Prov. govt. vs. Malihini; house-breaking. Rosa for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. D. Lokana; violating section 3, act 21, Provisional government. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Lydia Chapman; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Akana; assault and battery. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kane for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. David Koa; liquor selling without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. V. V. Ashford for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Keoni Maalo; violating chapter 64, laws of 1892. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Keo; violating section 3, act 21, Provisional government. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Wm. Mossman; violating section 3, act 21, Provisional government. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Pukalehua; violating section 5, act 21, Provisional government. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Achi for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ikeole; assault and battery. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

FOREIGN JURY—CRIMINAL.

Prov. govt. vs. Ah Young; violating section 5, chapter 41, laws of 1886. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Peterson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. G. C. Kenyon; libel, 1st degree. Peterson-Creighton for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ting Kim and Ah Mui; violating section 3, act 21, Provisional government. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Peterson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Gabriel; liquor selling without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Teraoka; liquor selling without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Kaahau; liquor selling without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Mrs. J. Naone; liquor selling without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Kaelemakule; larceny 4th degree. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. C. W. Ashford for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Kahalewai and Kahuikane; fishing with explosives. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. C. W. Ashford for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Kamakee; violating section 5, act 21, Provisional government. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Kaawalo; liquor selling without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Sato; violating section 5, act 21, Provisional government. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Sato; violating section 5, act 21, Provisional government. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

tion 77 civil code. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Peterson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. J. Sherwood; liquor selling without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Creighton for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ah Kai; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Davidson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ah Mui; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Davidson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Tam Sing; heedless driving. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Neumann for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ah Foo; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Davidson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Walter G. Smith; libel. Hatch with the prosecution; Hartwell for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Harry Welch; furious driving. Neumann for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. J. M. Vivas and J. M. Teixeira; libel. Neumann with the prosecution; Kinney for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Sylvester; assault with dangerous weapon. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Arthur Johnstone; libel. Creighton with the prosecution; Hartwell for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Antonio Cloy; libel. Creighton with the prosecution; Kinney for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Antonio Silva; gross cheat. C. W. Ashford with the prosecution; Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Domingos Gomes; gross cheat. Carter & Carter with the prosecution; C. W. Ashford for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ah Kau; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Davidson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ah Kai; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ah Foo; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Davidson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Look Sang; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Davidson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Magino and Sinis; violating section 3, act 21, Provisional government. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ah Chang; keeping disorderly house. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Geo. Lycargus; keeping disorderly house. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Neumann-Creighton for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Wong Leong; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Peterson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Wm. Meyer alias R. Stone; violating section 2, chapter 29, penal code. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. V. V. Ashford for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ah Cheong; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu.

Prov. govt. vs. Ah Shee; liquor selling without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Davidson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Mori; vagrancy. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ho Pai Yet and three others; violating section 5, act 21, Provisional government. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Achi for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Pong On and Ah Kee; larceny 3d degree. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Davidson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Yuen Shee and three others; violating section 5, act 21, Provisional government. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Davidson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. R. C. Clark; violating section 5, act 21, Provisional government. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. V. V. Ashford for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Aia; larceny of animal. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. C. W. Ashford for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. J. P. Bowen; larceny 4th degree. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. C. W. Ashford for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ah Sow; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Davidson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Kai Ko; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Magoon for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Afo; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kane for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ah Chew; keeping tenement house without license. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Peterson for defendant.

Prov. govt. vs. Ah Kwai; unlawful possession of opium. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. V. V. Ashford for defendant.

HAWAIIAN JURY—CIVIL.

Manini Sylvia et al. vs. D. W. Kahuakal et al.; ejectment. Kaulukou for plaintiffs; Kaulukou for defendants.

Kawai K. George vs. Hanakaulani Holt; ejectment. Hartwell for plaintiff; Rosa for defendant.

Maria Kaanaana vs. Keahi et al.; ejectment. C. W. Ashford for plaintiff; Magoon for defendant.

Kala and Annie Kumalii vs. C. P. Jaumes; ejectment. Magoon for plaintiffs; C. Brown for defendant.

T. K. R. Amalu vs. Kona Coffee & Fruit Co.; assumpsit. Kinney for plaintiff; Peterson-Rosa for defendant.

Clio H. Newton et al. vs. S. Kaale et al.; ejectment. Kinney for plaintiffs; Kane for defendants.

Mary Makana et al. vs. Hawaiian govt.; claim for road damages. Kinney for plaintiffs; Attorney-General for defendant.

MIXED JURY.

Talula L. Hayselden vs. Wahineaea (w); ejectment. Ashford for plaintiff; Nawahi for defendant.

Kanamu (w) vs. C. B. Wilson, marshal; false imprisonment. Kaulukou for plaintiffs; Rosa for defendant.

Ho Sun vs. Kukekakaulani et al.; ejectment. Johnson for plaintiff; Magoon for defendants.

H. A. Myhre et al. vs. A. Rosa; covenant.

C. W. Ashford for plaintiff; Creighton for defendant.

Joseph Tinker vs. A. Rosa, executor; assumpsit. Appeal from Judd, C.J., in chambers. Carter & Carter for plaintiff-appellant; Creighton for defendant.

R. C. Peterson vs. S. Ehrlich; action on the case. Hartwell for plaintiff; C. W. Ashford for defendant.

Julia S. Rice et al. vs. Jonathan Spooner; ejectment. Kinney for plaintiffs; Carter & Carter for defendant.

S. Ehrlich vs. R. C. Peterson; trespass. C. W. Ashford for plaintiff; Hartwell for defendant.

Frank Telles vs. Albert and Sarah Trask; replevin. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. C. W. Ashford for plaintiff-appellant; Rosa for defendant.

J. N. Kanahu et al. vs. Ono Kaahue et al.; ejectment. Poepeo for plaintiff; Kinney for defendant.

George McIntyre vs. Antonio Silva; damage. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. C. W. Ashford for plaintiff; Kaulukou for defendant-appellant.

Kate Keough vs. Mrs. A. C. Dowsett et al.; assumpsit. Magoon for plaintiff; C. Brown for defendant.

E. O. Hall & Son vs. Liliuokalani Dominis; assumpsit. Magoon for plaintiffs.

James Harvest vs. C. H. Luther et al.; ejectment. Poepeo for plaintiff; C. Brown for defendants.

FOREIGN JURY—CIVIL.

Catherine Batchelor vs. C. B. Wilson, marshal; trespass. Ashford for plaintiff; Hatch-Carter for defendant.

H. Wilgeroth vs. Ewa Plantation Co.; damage. Ashford for plaintiff; Castle for defendant.

In re bankruptcy of T. Ahung; bankrupt's appeal from order of Judge Whiting, committing him to prison. Tung Yuk vs. Ngao Luk; case. Hartwell for plaintiff; Neumann-Creighton for defendant.

Thos. Nott vs. C. T. Gulick, guardian; assumpsit. C. W. Ashford for plaintiff; Kinney for defendant.

E. C. Crick vs. E. G. Hitchcock, marshal; damage. Peterson-Creighton for plaintiff; Attorney-General for defendant.

C. A. P. Gardiner vs. E. G. Hitchcock, marshal; damage. Peterson-Creighton for plaintiff; Attorney-General for defendant.

F. Schiefer vs. Olowalu Sugar Co.; case. Rosa for plaintiff; Hatch for defendant.

Ing Choi vs. Ah Pong et al.; assumpsit. Castle for plaintiff.

John Nott vs. G. W. Lincoln et al.; assumpsit. Magoon for plaintiff; Hatch-Kinney for defendant.

V. V. Ashford vs. Arthur Johnstone; assumpsit. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Plaintiff in person; Magoon for defendant-appellant.

C. A. Brown vs. Bulletin Publishing Company; trespass on case. Hatch for plaintiff; C. W. Ashford for defendant.

Jacob Lyons vs. J. Hubash and C. Batchelor; assumpsit. Carter & Carter for plaintiff; C. W. Ashford for defendant.

Wong Wa Foy vs. Wong Tai Poon et al.; assumpsit. Magoon for plaintiff; Hatch-Kinney for defendant.

Louisa Rodriguez vs. Domingos Lopez; covenant. Carter & Carter for defendant.

Hoomana Kanao vs. Wm. Norton; replevin. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Wakefield for plaintiff-appellant; Carter & Carter for defendant.

Ah Loi et al. vs. Kui; damage. Johnson for plaintiffs-appellant; Magoon for defendant.

Kamai vs. Aina; assumpsit. Appeal from district court of Honolulu. Kaulukou for plaintiff; Achi for defendant-appellant.

DIVORCE AND SEPARATION.

Alice Davies vs. William Davies. Kinney for plaintiff; V. V. Ashford for defendant.

James Sutherland vs. Mary Sutherland; Kaulukou for plaintiff.

Kaulukou vs. Lazarus vs. Jos. Lazarus. Kinney for plaintiff; C. W. Ashford for defendant.

Kuhinu (w) vs. Kalepe. Rosa for plaintiff; C. Brown for defendant.

Lilly Mahi vs. Maka Mahi. C. W. Ashford for plaintiff; Kinney for defendant.

Miriam P. McKeague vs. Daniel McKeague. Poepeo for plaintiff; Kaulukou for defendant.

Lakana Pika (k) vs. Ponolua. C. Brown for plaintiff.

Moheau Keliinulama (w) vs. Keliinulama Kaona. Kaulukou for plaintiff; Creighton for defendant.

Kaisan (k) vs. Koshino. Neumann for plaintiff.

Nawahine (w) vs. Kauhaa. Magoon for plaintiff.

Lizzie Lokana vs. David Lokana. Davidson for plaintiff.

Nui (w) vs. Keoni Ahipaka. Kinney for plaintiff.

Sarah Eliz. Gertz vs. F. Gertz. Kinney for plaintiff.

Kanamu (w) vs. Kekiokalani. Johnson for plaintiff; C. Brown for defendant.

Phoebe Harrison vs. J. H. Harrison. C. W. Ashford for plaintiff; C. Brown for defendant.

John K. Waiamanu was at Oswego, New York, during the last week in September. He writes of the kind reception accorded him by Dr. Sheldon, principal of the Oswego Normal School, and Mrs. Sheldon. Waiamanu met Samuel Keliinui and Chas. King, graduates from the Kamehameha school, in that institution, and says the Hawaiian boys are progressing very well in their studies.

"It is a pleasure to sell Chamberlain's Cough Remedy," says Stickney & Dentler, druggists, Republic, Ohio, "because a customer after once using it, is almost certain to call for it when again in need of such a medicine. We sell more of it than of any other cough medicine we handle, and it always gives satisfaction." For coughs, colds and croup it is without an equal. For sale by all dealers.

BENSON, SMITH & CO.

Agents for H. I.

A COUNTESS CAPTAIN.

THE TOLNA REACHES HONOLULU FLYING THE AMERICAN FLAG.

A Series of Receptions Will be Given on the Handsome Yacht

The trim little American yacht Tolna, under command of an American lady, Countess Festetics de Tolna, arrived in Honolulu harbor at 10 o'clock yesterday morning. She came in flying the American flag and the colors of the San Francisco yacht club, the Tolna belonging to that club.

A representative of the ADVERTISER boarded the yacht out in the stream, and was met at the gangway by a young lady whom he at first mistook for the captain's daughter.

"May I see the captain?" asked the reporter of the young lady, who wore a yachting cap.

"I am the captain," was the quick reply.

The reporter then handed her his card, which the fair captain took and held in her hand while she said: "We are fifteen days out from San Francisco, but we had absolutely no wind. There was a continuous heavy swell most of the way. Had it not been for the calms we would have been here earlier. This is a very fast boat, you see, in fact the fastest on the Pacific coast. Most of the time she made eight knots, but when she had any kind of a breeze she swept the waves along at a fifteen knot rate. There is the count; would you like to see him?"

"If you please," replied the reporter meekly. The lady captain then introduced him to the count. After the usual words of greeting had been spoken the reporter went below and the count showed him the apartments of the first and second officers, which were on each side of the steps leading to the cabin, which the count calls his "parlor." Yes, it was a veritable parlor, and in fact was much more homelike than a fine ship's cabin.

The designer of the cabin was Page Brown, a well known San Francisco architect, and he has certainly well sustained his reputation. The fittings and upholstery work are elegant, the walls and ceiling being of hard wood highly polished. In the cabin are two book cases filled with books.

The count is an amateur photographer, and takes many views at every place of call. Forward of the cabin are the bathroom, chartroom and bedroom. The latter is very capacious, and is well lighted and ventilated. Forward of these apartments are the dining hall and pantry.

Count Tolna navigates the yacht, although his wife is the nominal captain; she signing all the papers relating to the vessel, such as entry or clearing papers and the like. The reason of this is easily accounted for, the yacht being American built and consequently carries the American flag. The count being a foreigner, the United States marine regulations forbid his being a captain, and so in order to avoid that difficulty, Countess de Tolna, an American lady, has been made captain.

The Tolna was built by Wm. Turner, of Benicia, California, the builder of the clipper vessels W. H. Drifmond, Consuelo, J. D. Spreckels, schooner Moiwahine and other swift sailing vessels on the Pacific coast. Her dimensions are: Length, 97 feet over all; beam, 24 feet; draft, 10 feet; registered tonnage, 78.61.

Count de Tolna said that after leaving this port, he will call at Tahiti, then the South Sea islands and probably Australia. From there he will go to Japan, and expects to reach the Mikado's empire early next year. From Japan the count will return to Austria, via the Suez canal and Mediterranean and Adriatic seas. The count wishes to call at as many ports along the Mediterranean sea as practicable so that he can be home at Vienna by the expiration of two years from date. He will remain at Vienna for some time after arrival, and may or may not place the yacht under his native flag. After that the count and countess will sail their yacht to New York.

Count de Tolna stated yesterday that he intends to give a series of

receptions on board during his stay in this harbor, and to that end, he will cause his yacht to be prepared for coming festive events.

Count Festetics de Tolna is a young man, being only twenty-seven years old, and the countess, of course, younger and handsomer. He is an officer in the Austrian army, and holds a commission as first lieutenant in the Hussars, one of the finest cavalry bodies in the imperial army. His father, Count Festetics, is chamberlain to Emperor Franz Joseph (Wilhelm I.), while his aunt is the highest lady of honor in the household of Empress Elizabeth. His uncle is Count Turilo Festetics, and is the owner of the finest racing horses in Vienna.

Count de Tolna first met the countess at the Paris exposition in 1889, where she was visiting. Two years ago they were married at New York. The countess was formerly Miss Eila Haggan, daughter of J. B. Haggan of San Francisco.

The yacht carries a crew of four seamen. The chief officer is Mr. Wichman, formerly mate of the schooner Aloha. The second officer, cook and stewardess complete the crew.

GIGANTIC SUGAR PROFITS.

Why Spreckels is Against Annexation.

WASHINGTON, Sept. 28. — The sugar trust will make from \$10,000,000 to \$12,000,000 additional profit in the early days of the new Democratic tariff. The schedules are not yet made up, but all the members of the committee believe that a tax of at least one cent a pound will be levied on sugar and the present bounty cut off. This will be necessary to increase the revenue. It is proposed to put wool, lumber, hemp flax, bituminous coal, coke, iron and copper ore on the free list. This will not only deprive all those industries of protection, but it will diminish the revenue of the government about \$11,000,000 as well. A tax of one cent a pound on sugar will bring in about \$35,000,000. The consumers will pay every penny of this and much more. The promoters of the new tariff propose to give the "business interests" ample time to adjust themselves to the changes. Six months' notice is the shortest time that has been spoken of.

A PROFIT OF \$1,500,000 A MONTH.

It is interesting to calculate what this will mean in profits to the enormously wealthy sugar trust. Treasurer Searles has stated officially that the trust refineries have a capacity of 40,000 barrels of refined sugar a day. For actual consumption the production sometimes runs as low as 15,000 barrels a day. This means that the trust can produce a surplus of 25,000 barrels a day if pushed. With six months' notice of the operation of the new tariff the trust will refine in 156 working days a surplus of 3,900,000 barrels of sugar. They will import all their sugar free, and sell with the tariff of one cent a pound added, making a sugar plum of about \$70,000,000 for the trust in addition to its usual profits, which are already enormous.

The customary profit of refining sugar in the East is one cent a pound. On the Pacific coast it is two and a quarter cents a pound. The difference is due to a private arrangement between Claus Spreckels and the sugar trust. The profits of this iniquitous arrangement reaches \$6,000,000. Incidentally, it may be added that if Hawaii were annexed and its sugars let in free this profit would vanish and every consumer on the Pacific coast would be benefitted. That is why Claus Spreckels is against annexation. That is why the people are for it.

AMERICAN INDUSTRIES KILLED; TRUSTS HELPED.

The Democratic tariff bill will also cut off the bounty paid on American raised sugar beet and cane. This will repress a growing industry at the south and west, and still further increase the profits of the gigantic sugar monopoly of New York and Brooklyn, which is already making \$13,560,000 a year in profits out of refineries and real estate worth \$20,000,000, but capitalized at \$85,000,000. It will not be wondered at, in view of these figures, that the Messrs. Havemeyer, the absolute masters of the trust, were the largest contributors to the Democratic campaign fund last fall. Their profits in proportion to their investment are so large that Mr. Van Allen's \$50,000 investment in the Italian Mission looks poor by comparison. —N. Y. Press.

The estate of the late C. P. von Mengerson, of Kauai, is valued at \$15,251.44. The greater portion is in sugar stock and personal property.

ZIP, BANG!

SEVENTY-FIVE POUNDS OF GIANT POWDER SHAKES UP BREWER'S WHARF.

The Reef is Non-eat, but Considerable Damage is Done.

At 4:30 o'clock Tuesday afternoon, Mr. W. E. Rowell, superintendent of public works, made his second attempt to blast the coral bed on the Ewa side of Brewer's wharf with giant powder. During the day Mr. Rowell was busy putting cartridges of Hercules powder in eight holes drilled into the coral bed at an average depth of about ten feet. Between thirty and forty cartridges were put into each hole, the caps attached to the insulated wires being inserted in the uppermost cartridges. Mr. Rowell completed inserting the powder into the eight holes after 4 o'clock. He then left and shortly afterwards returned with a No. 2 Victor magneto-electric blasting battery.